

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
9/482,65	3 1/13/00	Wells et al.	
			EXAMINER
			C. Cooley
		•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
			1723 /6
	=	EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RE	DATE MAILED:
All continents (appliant			CORD
All participants (applicant,		D To Dersonnelly:	
(1) (	ooley (	(3)	
2) Conra	d Clark	(APP. Rep.)(4)	
Date of Interview	6 May 2	2003	
	. /	n to applicant applicant's representative).	
		s 🗆 No. If yes, brief description:	
models of	- Containe	<b>^</b> 7	
			· ·
Igreement was reach		or all of the claims in question.   was not react	ed.
Claims discussed:	22-3		
dentification of prior art d	scussed: Racc	inglia et al., McFa	rland, Crippa,
Onishi			• • • • •
Description of the general	nature of what was agree	ed to if an agreement was reached, or any other o	omments. The system claim
		to include language	
		e positions. The contain	
evised to in	clude limita	utions drawn to the a	ccess ports and may
			App. rep. may file an
		e amendments, if available, which the examiner ag s which would render the claims allowable is availa	
☐ 1. It is not necessary	for applicant to provide	a separate record of the substance of the interview	N.
WAIVED AND MUST INC	LUDE THE SUBSTANCE		SPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT erse side of this form). If a response to the last Office statement of the substance of the interview.
requirements that response require box 1 above is al	t may be present in the laments of the last Office a so checked.	ast Office action, and since the claims are now allocation. Applicant is not relieved from providing as	lete response to each of the objections, rejections an wable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the eparate record of the substance of the interview unless
E and new cl	aims will be	considered, Examiner's	are or